

## Erratum

### Short Note

# **Stereotyped Whistles May Be First Evidence to Suggest the Possibility of Signature Whistles in an Injured Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin (*Sousa chinensis*)**

Zhaolong Cheng,<sup>1,2</sup> Ding Wang,<sup>1</sup> Haiping Wu,<sup>3,4</sup> Shiang-lin Huang,<sup>3,4</sup>  
Matthew K. Pine,<sup>1</sup> Chongwei Peng,<sup>3,4</sup> and Kexiong Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*The Key Laboratory of Aquatic Biodiversity and Conservation of Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China  
E-mail: wangk@ihb.ac.cn*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Marine Science, College of Ocean, Qinzhou University, Qinzhou, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Guangxi Key Laboratory of Beibu Gulf Marine Biodiversity Conservation, Qinzhou, China*

<https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.43.2.2017.185>

There were some errors in the original published version. The first two affiliations should be “The Key Laboratory of Aquatic Biodiversity and Conservation of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China” and “University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.” In the original version, we lost the final “s” in “Sciences.” In addition, the acoustic data were spectrographically inspected in *Raven* with a 4096 sample Hanning window rather than a 4048 sample Hanning window.